

# Contents

<b>Contents</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	
<b>1. Research Question and Preliminary Remarks</b>	<b>12</b>
1.1 Secession between international and domestic law	13
1.2 Secession and/or autonomy under domestic law	17
1.3 Is international law giving some guidelines to secessionist processes?	19
1.4 Secession and territorial referenda: a complex interplay	20
<b>2. Methodology and Structure</b>	<b>22</b>
2.1 Methodology	22
2.2 Structure	23
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
<b>Only a colonial peoples' right? Trajectories of self-determination in International law</b>	
<b>1. From a Political Principle to a Legal Rule</b>	<b>26</b>
1.1 Self-determination after World War I	27
1.2 Self-determination and the Mandate System in the Covenant of the League of Nations	28
<b>2. The purport of self-determination in the UN Practice</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>3. Self-Determination in human rights law: individual and collective nature of the right</b>	<b>37</b>

<b>3.1 The role of case-law in establishing a legal right to self-determination</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>3.2 Human Rights Bodies and Self-Determination</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>4 Controversial issues on self-determination: (too) many claimants?</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>4.1 Still in search for a definition of people</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>4.2 Minorities and indigenous peoples: do they have a right to self-determination?</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>5. Self-determination beyond decolonization: contemporary meanings</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>6. Conclusion</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
<b>The Contested Right to Secede</b>	
<b>1. Secession in international law: a word in search of a definition</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>1.1 Agreed secession in the federation between Eritrea and Ethiopia</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>1.2 The Scottish example of secession by consent</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>1.3 Defining unilateral secession</b>	<b>65</b>
<b>2. Legal approaches to secession</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>2.1 Prohibition of secession under international law</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>2.2 Neutrality of international law towards secession</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>2.3 The remedial right theory</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>3. International due requirements for secession</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>3.1 The ban on the use of force</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>3.2 The respect of the <i>uti possidetis juris</i> principle</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>3.3 The role of territorial referendum</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>3.4 Crimea</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>4. Dis-entangling secession from self-determination: a proposal</b>	<b>99</b>

<b>4.1 Secession and self-determination: different terms with different meanings</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>4.2 Possible units entitled to seek secession</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>5. Conclusions</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Chapter 3</b>	
<b>Territorial Referenda: Will of the People and Statehood in International Law</b>	
<b>1. Plebiscites and referenda: two terms for two types of popular consultations</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>2. At the origins of popular consultations on territorial changes: the plebiscites</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>2.1 Main requirements of the Plebiscites carried out after World War I</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>2.2 The League of Nations and popular consultations: the Plebiscite in the Saar Basin</b>	<b>124</b>
<b>2.3 The plebiscites under the Versailles Treaty: a critical assessment</b>	<b>126</b>
<b>3. The UN and plebiscites held during the decolonisation period</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>3.2 Western Sahara</b>	<b>133</b>
<b>3.3 East Timor</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>4. The focus of the research: territorial referenda</b>	<b>140</b>
<b>4.1 Arguments in favour of an international legal obligation to conduct a referendum to validate a territorial change</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>4.2 Arguments against the role of territorial referenda in international law</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>5. Territorial referenda as the first step towards negotiations: the case of Quebec</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>5.1 Quebec and Canada: between coexistence and secession</b>	<b>157</b>
<b>5.2 Reference Re Secession of Quebec: the interplay between territorial referenda and negotiations</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>6. Negotiating secession for Scotland</b>	<b>163</b>

<b>6.1 Scotland towards a devo-max option</b>	<b>163</b>
<b>6.2 Territorial referendum in the Edinburgh Agreement</b>	<b>164</b>
<b>6.3 The Scottish referendum and international law on territorial changes</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>6.4 A model for territorial referenda: requirements of the Scottish referendum</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>7. Constitutionalising secession through referendum (I): the case of Uzbekistan</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>8. Constitutionalising Secession through Referendum (II): the secession of Catalonia</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>8.1 Catalonia in the storm: the years 2006-2017</b>	<b>174</b>
8.2 From binding to non-binding referendum	179
<b>8.3 The Spanish Constitutional Court's ruling 259/2015</b>	<b>180</b>
<b>8.4 Territorial referenda, secession and the right to decide in the Catalan debate: elements in favour and against the consolidation of the <i>opinio juris</i></b>	<b>182</b>
<b>8.5 The October 2017 Referendum and the opposition of the Spanish central Government</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>9. The referendum in Crimea: an international legal perspective</b>	<b>188</b>
9.1 The (mis)use of referendum in Crimea	189
9.2 Legal grounds for the referendum in Crimea: a difficult interpretation	191
<b>10. Conclusions</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>Chapter 4</b>	
<b>Requirements for a free and fair territorial referendum..and beyond</b>	
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>2. Montenegro: acquiring statehood through a referendum</b>	<b>199</b>
<b>2.1.The organisation of the referendum in Montenegro: between international and domestic law</b>	<b>201</b>

<b>2.2 Code of Good Practice on Referendums</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>3. Procedural standards of the Referendum in Crimea</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>3.1 The Venice Commission and the referendum in Crimea: further consolidation of international standards</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>4. Between intervention and recognition</b>	<b>213</b>
<b>4.1 Theories of recognition</b>	<b>214</b>
<b>4.2 International responses to territorial referenda</b>	<b>217</b>
<b>5. Conclusions</b>	<b>225</b>
<b>5.1 Requirements for holding territorial referenda</b>	<b>226</b>
<b>5.2 Recognition, statehood and secession through referendum: a thorny relationship</b>	<b>227</b>
<b>Conclusions</b>	
<b>1. Secession: old but new phenomenon</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>2. Territorial referendum in international law</b>	<b>234</b>
<b>3. Secession and referendum in international law: the attempt to solve the puzzle</b>	<b>239</b>
<b>4. Conclusions</b>	<b>243</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>244</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>246</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>260</b>