

# CHECKLIST OF AMPHIPODS OF THE ITALIAN SEAS: BASELINE FOR MONITORING BIODIVERSITY

Antonina Badalucco, Rocco Auriemma, Andrea Bonifazi, Roberta Cimmaruta, Elvira De Matthaeis, Cristina Gioia Di Camillo, Valentina Esposito, Davide Iacofano, Traudl Krapp, Leonardo Latella, Loretta Lattanzi, Marco Lezzi, Monica Lionello, Emanuele Mancini, Agnese Marchini, Veronica Marusso, Ermelinda Prato, Felicita Scapini, Maria Beatrice Scipione, Monica Targusi, Francesco Tiralongo, Benedetta Trabucco, Alberto Ugolini, Sabrina Lo Brutto

**Abstract:** This preliminary study presents an updated checklist of amphipod crustaceans inhabiting Italian seas and provides valuable insights into their diversity, distribution, and ecological traits. By combining existing literature with unpublished data, we were able to record 500 species in Italian waters. The analyses revealed a significant increase in the number of amphipod species documented in the Country, primarily due to intensified research efforts and the introduction of non-native species. This study highlights the importance of ongoing monitoring and research to assess marine biodiversity. Future efforts should prioritize understudied groups, such as planktonic species, and those living in deep-sea marine environments.

**Keywords:** Amphipoda; Italian Checklist; Mediterranean Biodiversity

Antonina Badalucco, University of Palermo, Italy, antonina.badalucco@unipa.it, 0000-0002-5003-0692  
Rocco Auriemma, National Institute of Oceanography and Applied Geophysics – OGS, Italy, rauriemma@ogs.it, 0000-0003-3304-0378  
Andrea Bonifazi, ARPA Lazio, Italy, andrea.bonifazi@arpalazio.it, 0000-0001-6779-6398  
Roberta Cimmaruta, Tuscia University, Italy, cimmaruta@unitus.it, 0000-0002-1421-5155  
Elvira De Matthaeis, University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Italy, elvira.dematthaeis@fondazione.uniroma1.it, 0000-0002-9773-7150  
Cristina Gioia Di Camillo, Marche Polytechnic University, Italy, c.dicamillo@univpm.it, 0000-0002-4031-8158  
Valentina Esposito, National Institute of Oceanography and Applied Geophysics – OGS, Italy, vesposito@ogs.it, 0000-0001-7595-8369  
Davide Iacofano, University of Palermo, Italy  
Traudl Krapp, University of Ulm, Germany, traudl.krapp@uni-bonn.de  
Leonardo Latella, Natural History Museum of Verona, Italy, leonardo.latella@comune.verona.it, 0000-0003-2510-5581  
Loretta Lattanzi, ISPRA - Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Italy, loretta.lattanzi@isprambiente.it, 0000-0003-0902-4191  
Marco Lezzi, Daphne Oceanographic Structure, Italy, mlezzi@arpae.it, 0000-0002-6888-7790  
Monica Lionello, Regional Agency for Environmental Prevention and Protection of Veneto, Italy, monica.lionello@arpa.veneto.it, 0000-0001-7522-5392  
Emanuele Mancini, University of Salento, Italy, emanuele.mancini@unisalento.it, 0000-0002-3286-4554  
Agnese Marchini, University of Pavia, Italy, agnese.marchini@unipv.it, 0000-0003-4580-0522  
Veronica Marusso, ISPRA - Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Italy, veronica.marusso@isprambiente.it, 0009-0004-8946-3112  
Ermelinda Prato, National Research Council, Water Research Institute, Italy, linda.prato@irsa.cnr.it, 0000-0002-7917-6361  
Felicita Scapini, University of Florence, Italy, scapini.felicita@gmail.com, 0000-0001-7229-9572  
Maria Beatrice Scipione, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Italy, beatrice.scipione@szn.it, 0009-0004-4189-6082  
Monica Targusi, ISPRA - Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Italy, monica.targusi@isprambiente.it, 0009-0000-2930-580X  
Francesco Tiralongo, University of Catania, Italy, francesco.tiralongo@unict.it, 0000-0001-9637-1970  
Benedetta Trabucco, ISPRA - Italian Institute for Environmental Protection and Research, Italy, benedetta.trabucco@isprambiente.it, 0000-0003-0469-6765  
Alberto Ugolini, University of Florence, Italy, alberto.ugolini@unifi.it, 0000-0002-5041-7117  
Sabrina Lo Brutto, University of Palermo, Italy, sabrina.lobrutto@unipa.it, 0000-0002-9964-904X

Referee List (DOI 10.36253/fup\_referee\_list)

FUP Best Practice in Scholarly Publishing (DOI 10.36253/fup\_best\_practice)

Antonina Badalucco, Rocco Auriemma, Andrea Bonifazi, Roberta Cimmaruta, Elvira De Matthaeis, Cristina Gioia Di Camillo, Valentina Esposito, Traudl Krapp, Leonardo Latella, Loretta Lattanzi, Marco Lezzi, Monica Lionello, Emanuele Mancini, Agnese Marchini, Veronica Marusso, Ermelinda Prato, Felicita Scapini, Maria Beatrice Scipione, Monica Targusi, Francesco Tiralongo, Benedetta Trabucco, Alberto Ugolini, Sabrina Lo Brutto, *Checklist of amphipods of Italian seas: baseline for monitoring biodiversity*, pp. 7-13, © 2024 Author(s), CC BY-NC-SA 4.0, DOI: 10.36253/979-12-215-0556-6.01

## Introduction

This study presents the preliminary data of the revised checklist of amphipods of the Italian seas as a contribution to a comprehensive analysis of species occurrence, which is essential for biodiversity assessment and monitoring activities.

The amphipods are an abundant and ecologically important component of the marine communities [6, 8]. They include species belonging to different trophic and ethological categories and play a pivotal role in the ecology of marine habitats [4, 7]. The amphipods are particularly sensitive to chemical and physical changes and respond relatively quickly to natural and anthropogenic stress factors [2, 6] therefore, they can be used as bioindicators for monitoring the marine environment.

In this context, the updating of species checklists can be considered a tool of fundamental importance to better understand the spatial and temporal evolution of the changes that biodiversity can undergo. Unfortunately, the amphipod fauna is often overlooked. A comprehensive study on the geographical distribution and ecology of the benthic amphipods of the Mediterranean Sea was edited by Sandro Ruffo between 1982 and 1998 aimed mostly at the taxonomic identification of species. It included about 450 species [9], while the information on pelagic amphipods came only from a few articles [3]. Later, in 2010, Ruffo focused on the Italian fauna and compiled the first checklist of marine amphipods, which included 457 species, both benthic and pelagic [10].

The aim of this work was to provide up-to-date information on the amphipod fauna of the Italian seas and to show whether it is representative of the Mediterranean Sea.

## Materials and Methods

A review of the available literature was carried out. Unpublished data, including those from various surveys, were combined with the lists of scientific articles. The literature search was conducted through the tool “Advanced Search” of Google Scholar using the keywords “checklist”; “Italy”; “Italian”; “amphipod\*”; “peracarid\*”; “Crustacea\*”. To date, more than 100 papers have been consulted. In order to make a comparison with the records of the entire Mediterranean Sea, a similar search was conducted replacing the keyword ‘Italian’ with ‘Mediterranean’. To date, more than 60 papers have been consulted with updated records from the Mediterranean region, outside Italian waters. A new update on the number of species in Italian waters and, concurrently, in the Mediterranean Sea has been obtained. The nomenclature of all species has been updated in accordance with the World Amphipoda Database [5]. A dataset was created for all the Mediterranean species, in which the amphipod species were assigned to different ecological and biogeographical traits, i.e. zoogeographical distribution, bathymetry and substrate preference.

## Results

The project, which involved several institutions, produced preliminary results that updated the Italian checklist to 500 species, differently present in the various

biogeographical sectors (Figure 1); seven species were non-indigenous species (NIS), bringing the total number of NIS present in Italy to 11.




Figure 1 – Map of Italy representing the division of the Italian seas into nine biogeographical sectors. Numbers indicate species richness in the various sectors.

The total number of Mediterranean amphipods recorded in this first revision was 646 (Figure 2), including the Italian species.

Species richness is illustrated by categorising the Italian seas into the nine biogeographical sectors [1] (Figure 1), and the Mediterranean Sea into the two sub-basins (western and eastern) (Figure 2). Regarding Italy, 352 records reported species in sectors where they had not previously been recorded.

The update of the Italian checklist has contributed to expanding our knowledge of the entire Mediterranean fauna, which currently counts 581 species in the western basin and 525 in the eastern basin.

In order to obtain a complete overview of the amphipod fauna in the Mediterranean region, a dataset was created including information on ecological and biogeographical traits. The Mediterranean endemic species were 205; the Atlanto-Mediterranean species 277; the cosmopolitan species 149; the NIS 15 (Figure 3).




Figure 2 – Map representing the Mediterranean Sea divided into two sub-basins (western and eastern). Numbers indicate species richness in the two sub-basins.




Figure 3 – The Mediterranean amphipod species assigned to categories according to zoogeographical distribution.

In terms of bathymetric categories, the supralittoral species (semi-terrestrial amphipods) were represented by 2 %; mesolittoral species by 11 %; infralittoral species by 35 %; circalittoral species by 28 %; bathyal species by 17 %; abyssal species by 3 % and species with no information by 4 % (Figure 4).

The amphipod species were also categorised according to their general substrate preferences: vegetal substrates (both algae and marine phanerogams), soft bottom, hard bottom, artificial substrates (fouling). Vegetal substrates accounted for 28 %, soft bottoms for 44 %, hard bottoms for 17 %, artificial substrates for 4 % and the species for which no information was available for 7% (Figure 5).




Figure 4 – Percentage of the Mediterranean amphipod species assigned to categories based on bathymetry.




Figure 5 – Percentage of the Mediterranean amphipod species assigned to categories according to substrate preference.

In terms of bathymetric categories, the supralittoral species (semi-terrestrial amphipods) were represented by 2 %; mesolittoral species by 11 %; infralittoral species by 35 %; circalittoral species by 28 %; bathyal species by 17 %; abyssal species by 3 % and species with no information by 4 % (Figure 4).

The amphipod species were also categorised according to their general substrate preferences: vegetal substrates (both algae and marine phanerogams), soft bottom, hard bottom, artificial substrates (fouling). Vegetal substrates accounted for 28 %, soft bottoms for 44 %, hard bottoms for 17 %, artificial substrates for 4 % and the species for which no information was available for 7% (Figure 5).

## **Discussion**

This preliminary study provides a comprehensive and up to date overview of the diversity and distribution of amphipod crustaceans in the Italian seas. The analysis revealed that the number of amphipod species recorded in Italy has increased considerably.

Updating the checklists is an important step towards a better understanding of marine biodiversity in the Italian seas and in the Mediterranean in general.

Thorough knowledge of this taxon is essential for assessing the state of marine ecosystems, as amphipods are sensitive indicators of environmental conditions and can be used to monitor the impact of human activities on marine ecosystems; for biodiversity management, as the identification of endemic and threatened species can guide conservation and management strategies for marine biodiversity and for a better understanding of ecological processes; the study of amphipods can provide valuable information on ecological processes that occur in marine ecosystems, as they are an important link between primary producers and higher-order consumers, and are an ecologically important group contributing to nutrient cycling.

In conclusion, the species included in the checklist of Italian seas, which represent 76.7 % of the species present in the Mediterranean Sea, indicate that the Italian seas play a central role in the amphipod diversity of the Mediterranean Sea. Nevertheless, further sampling and monitoring efforts are advisable, especially for planktonic species for which there are very few new records, for parasitic amphipod species and for species living in extreme marine environments.

## **Acknowledgements**

Project partially funded under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.4 — Call for tender No. 3138 of 16 December 2021, rectified by Decree n.3175 of 18 December 2021 of the Italian Ministry of University and Research funded by the European Union — NextGenerationEU; Project code CN\_00000033, Concession Decree No. 1034 of 17 June 2022 adopted by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, CUP D33C22000960007 and CUP B73C22000790001, Project title “National Biodiversity Future Center — NBFC”.

## References

- [1] Bianchi C.N. (2004) - *Proposta di suddivisione dei mari italiani in settori biogeografici*, Notiziario SIBM 46, 57-59.
- [2] Borja A., Franco J., & Pérez V. (2000) - *A marine biotic index to establish the ecological quality of soft-bottom benthos within European estuarine and coastal environments*, Marine Pollution Bulletin 40 (12), 1100-1114.
- [3] Christodoulou M., Paraskevopoulou S., Syranidou E., & Koukouras A. (2013) - *The amphipod (Crustacea: Peracarida) fauna of the Aegean Sea, and comparison with those of the neighbouring seas*, Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom 93 (5), 1303-1327.
- [4] Costa V., Chemello R., Iacofano D., Lo Brutto S., Rossi F. (2021) - *Small-scale patches of detritus as habitat for invertebrates within a Zostera noltei meadow*. Marine Environmental Research 171 105474. DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2021.105474
- [5] Horton T., De Broyer C., Bellan-Santini D., Coleman C.O., Corbari L., Daneliya M., Dauvin J.C., Decock W., Fanini L., Fišer C., Gasca R., Grabowski M., Guerra-García J.M., Hendrycks E.A., Hughes L.E., Jaume D., Kim, Y.H., King R., Lo Brutto S., Lörz A.N., Mamos T., Serejo C., Senna A.R., Souza-Filho J.F., Tandberg A.H.S., Thurston M.H., Vader W.J.M., Väinölä R., Valls Domedel G., Vandepitte L., Vanhoorne B., Vonk R., White K.N., Zeidler W. (2023) - *The World Amphipoda Database: history and progress*. In Festschrift in Honour of James K. Lowry, ed. P. B. Berents, S. T. Ah Yong, A. A. Myers, and L. Fanini. Records of the Australian Museum 75 (4), 329–342. DOI: 10.3853/j.2201-4349.75.2023.1875
- [6] Lo Brutto S., Iacofano D., Lo Turco V., Potorti A.G., Rando R., Arizza V., Di Stefano V. (2021) - *First assessment of plasticizers in marine coastal litter-feeder fauna in the Mediterranean Sea*, Toxics 9 (2), 31. DOI: 10.3390/toxics9020031
- [7] Mancuso F.P., D'Agostaro R., Milazzo M., Badalamenti F., Musco L., Mikac B., Lo Brutto S., Chemello R. (2022) - *The invasive seaweed Asparagopsis taxiformis erodes the habitat structure and biodiversity of native algal forests in the Mediterranean Sea*, Marine Environmental Research 173, 105515. DOI: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2021.105515
- [8] Plicanti A., Iacofano D., Bertocci I., Lo Brutto S. (2017) - *The amphipod assemblages of Sabellaria alveolata reefs from the NW coast of Portugal: An account of the present knowledge, new records, and some biogeographic considerations*, Marine Biodiversity 47 (2), 521-534.
- [9] Ruffo S. (1982-1998) - *The Amphipoda of the Mediterranean*. Part 1-4, Mémoires de l'Institut océanographique, Monaco 13, 1 - 950.
- [10] Ruffo S. (2010) *Amphipoda*. In Relini G. (ed.) *Checklist della Flora e della Fauna dei Mari Italiani (Parte II)*, Biologia Marina Mediterranea 17, 499 - 515.